TARCOM HEARING 2 APPEAL FROM MERIT SYSTEM 3 PROTECTION BOARD 4 IN THE MATTER OF: 5 LEROY J. PLETTEN, 6 Appellant, 7 -vs-8 DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, 9 Appellee. 10 Transcript of the deposition of ROBERT J. 11 LANG, a witness in the above-entitled cause, taken before 12 Norma J. Yeager, Notary Public in and for the County of Oakland, 13 State of Michigan, at 3000 Town Center, Suite 1150, Southfield, 14 Michigan 48075, on Monday, April 26, 1982, commencing at or about 15 the hour of 8:20 a.m. 16 APPEARANCES: 17 COOPER & COHEN, 3000 Town Center, Suite 1150, Southfield, Michigan 48075, Appearing on behalf of the Appellant. 18 STEVEN Z. COHEN, ESQ. BY: EMILY SEVALD BACON, ESQ., United States Army Tank-Automotive Command, Detroit Arsenal, Warren, Michigan 48090, 20

19

Appearing on behalf of the Appellee.

21

ALSO PRESENT:

22

Leroy J. Pletten, Appellant.

23

24

Southfield, Michigan 2 Monday, April 26, 1982 3 Approximately 8:20 a.m. LANG ROBERT J. 5 being first duly sworn, was examined and testified on his 6 oath as follows: 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MS. BACON: 9 Would you state your name again for the record, please? 10 Robert J. Lang, L-a-n-g. 11 What is your position at TARCOM? 12 I am the TACOM Facility Engineer. 13 How long have you held that position, approximately? 14 Oh, roughly about 12 years. 15 Are you familiar with the appellant in this matter, 16 Mr. Leroy Pletten? 17 Yes, I am. 18 How did you first become acquainted with Mr. Pletten? 19 Through personnel actions at the installation. 20 Were you ever asked to check ventilation in Mr. Pletten's 21 working area? 22 Yes, I was. 23 Why were you asked to check the ventilation? Because Leroy had indicated to the supervisor there was not 24 25 sufficient ventilation and the area was unhealthy.

- Q Can you identify this document in Agency's Response Tab 4?
- A Yes.

- Q Could you identify that for the record, please?
- A It is dated 24 July '79 and has my signature and deals with ventilation in the Personnel Office in Building 230, the area which Mr. Pletten occupied.
- Q Now, that reflects that you did some testing. Correct?
- 8 A Yes.
 - Q What did you base your testing on, or what standards were you using?
 - A AR 1-8, which requires a minimum of 10 cubic feet of fresh air per person.
 - MS. BACON: I would submit Agency Exhibit 18, a copy of AR 1-8 at this time.

MR. COHEN: No objection.

- MS. BACON: I am providing two copies to the court reporter. This is to be submitted along with the deposition testimony, to the presiding official in Chicago.
- Q (By Ms. Bacon) What was the result of your testing?
- A Well, the results showed that there was a minimum of 10 cubic feet of air per person being provided in the work area that was occupied by Mr. Pletten.
- Q To your knowledge, was Mr. Pletten satisfied with the results of your testing?
 - MR. COHEN: I am objecting. The question

BY MR. COHEN:

24

25

Mr. Lang, if the studies you performed, as indicated by Tab 4 of the record, indicates compliance with the 10 percent

- Well, because his supervisor said he particularly was not Α satisfied with that condition.
- Q But you felt confident your study showed compliance with the regulation?
- Α Yes, that is true, it did.
- The air conditioner was your idea or the supervisor's idea? 0
- A The supervisor just asked what could be done in his No. particular case because of Mr. Pletten's health condition.
- Q When you wrote this disposition form, who is it in response Reference is made to your DF, dated 19 June?
- Α I don't recall.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

21

22

23

24

- Who would be the author of the June '79 disposition form?
- I can't recall.
- 16 Q Look at this disposition form. Do you not respond to the Legal Office?
- 18 Α Yes -- well, perhaps it did come from them.
- 19 What do you discern from the notation on the memorandum that 20 says to Legal Office?
 - That it was perhaps requested by the Legal Office. Α
 - Did you contact anybody from the Legal Office at the time of your investigation of the ventilation of the Personnel Office?
 - Yes, I did. A

- Q Who did you talk to?
- A I can't say for certain. It has been so long, I can't say precisely who it might have been.
- Q Over a period of time involving these studies, whom did you talk to? Not necessarily at one period of time, but who from the Legal Office did you have contact with?
- A Mr. Ortisi.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

- Q Anybody else?
- A I don't recall.
- Do you recall any of the substance of your conversations with Mr. Ortisi?
 - A It dealt with the ventilation problem and whether or not it met the requirements of the regulations that govern ventilation at Army facilities.
 - Q Maybe I am misreading you, sir. But in your earlier testimony
 I thought you said that your contact with regard to studies
 was with Mr. Pletten's supervisor.
 - A Yes.
 - Q Who initiated your study?
- A As far as I can recall, the supervisor to back up the fact that there was proper ventilation in the area.
 - Q But you agree with me that the conclusion one can draw from the disposition form, you were directed and initiated by the Legal Office --
- 25 A Could well be.

- Q -- and your memory, or absence of memory in that regard,
 would leave us with the conclusion drawn, not the DF itself,
 is that correct?
- A That is true.

3

4

5

6

7

- Q What training do you have, sir?
 - A I am a graduate engineer, electrical engineer. I also had training in civil and mechanical and structural engineering.
- Q How much training?
- 9 A Well, I have been on the job for over 30 years.
- 10 Q But no formal training as to structural?
- 11 A Oh, yes, in college and special courses while I was on the job.
- 13 Q When did you graduate and from what school?
- 14 A From Lawrence Tech in 1948.
- 15 Q You have a BS degree from that school?
- 16 A Yes, I do.
- 17 | Q Do you have any postgraduate training?
- 18 A Not at Lawrence; at Wayne University I took some courses.
- 19 | Q Do you have a Master's degree, sir?
- 20 A No, I don't.
- 21 Q Do you have any other higher degrees besides the Bachelor of Science?
- 23 A No.
- Q How many updating courses since 1948 have you taken, sir?
- 25 A Gosh, they are innumerable. Not only through local colleges,

- but also through the Army.
- When was the last time you took a course for training purposes?
 - A In a technical field?
- ⁵ O Yes.

4

6

7

8

- A Oh, that has been probably four or five years, but we keep up to date with periodicals that come through and all the latest developments in my field.
- Q How many periodicals do you receive on air control?
- 10 A Three or four.
- Name them, please.
- 12 A ASME.
- 13 Q What is that?
- A American Society of Mechanical Engineers, which deals with heating and ventilating and air conditioning.
- 16 Q What else?
- 17 A Heating and Ventilating Magazine.
- 18 Q Is that the name of the magazine, sir?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q What else?
- 21 A Architectural Record.
- 22 Q What else?
- A Gee, I don't know. That is all I can recall right now, but there is others.
- 25 Q How frequently do you read these magazines?

- A Well, from time to time. Not daily, obviously. It depends on time available.
- Q Have you been sent for specific training with regard to air intake by the Command at any time?
- A Specifically for that area?
- Q Yes.

24.

- No, not that in itself, because with my background, really, and keeping up to date, if we do any additional training, it covers a broader area of engineering disciplines.
- Q Would it be safe to state, Mr. Lang, you have never had specific training with regard to this aspect of mechanical engineering?
- A No, it would not be.
- Q Well, you have stated on the record that you have training as an electrical engineer?
- A Yes.
- Q And a degree in that area?
- A Yes.
 - You have stated all the rest of your experience, over 30 years, has provided you some input into general terms, and you have kept up with various courses, but you said the courses are never specifically addressed for air intake and air studies, is that correct?
 - A But what I am trying to say -- what I am saying -- it is part of the overall training itself, not one course or class

What type of instruments do you use in making air study determinations?

3

4

Amimatherm.

reports?

that?

- 5
- Spell that, please.
- 6
- Α A-m-i-m-a-t-h-e-r-m. Anyhow, it is an instrument that takes velocity, temperature, static, pressure.
- 7 8
- What other instruments are available?
- 9
- Thermostatistic pressure glasses, velometers.
- 10
- Does that round out the field of instruments?
- 11
 - As far as strictly air, yes, pretty well.

volume of air and it is --

Do you know how many feet it is?

- 12
- Can you describe pollution control devices that the city,
- 13
- for example, would use in terms of their pollution control

Well, they run it through a screen and you are taking a

It varies with the type of test you are conducting.

Do you know about the standard surveys taken for the City of

Detroit? Did you research that and are you familiar with

I am familiar with that because we have the same thing at

- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- the terminal. There is a Ringaman test.
 - What is a Ringaman test?
- A Ringaman test is a comparison of the density of the smoke

```
compared with a standard and it runs from Ringaman 1 up to
 1
2
         Ringaman 10.
         Do you perform those tests also?
3
         No, but I know how to do them.
 4
         Who performs these?
5
    0
         People in my office.
6
    Α
         Specific people or everybody?
7
         Pretty well everybody in my engineering personnel are familiat
    Α
8
         with them, primarily mechanical engineers. I have done it
9
         before, but I don't run the tests.
10
         As far as Building 230 -- well, do you know when Building 230
11
         was built?
12
         1961 -- '60 -- '61.
    A
13
         Were you with the Tank Command at the time?
    Q
14
         Yes, I was.
    Α
15
         Did you supervise the ventilation process of the building?
16
         I was involved in the preliminary design of the building.
17
         Can we go off the record for a second?
18
                         (Off the record.)
19
         (By Mr. Cohen) You have reviewed and are familiar with
    Q
20
         AR 1-8, which is in the record?
21
         Yes, I am.
22
         Can you tell me the established smoking policy employed by
    Q٠
23
         AR 1-8?
24
         It is indicated you must provide a minimum of 10 cubic feet
    Α
25
```

of fresh air per person that occupies a given area.

- Q That is a firm commitment?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

- Q Or a general rule?
- 5 A Firm commitment as far as we are concerned.
 - Q Are you familiar with the other provisions and regulations?
 - A Generally, yes.
 - Q What are the specific rights contained therein?
 - A It indicates the area where there will be no smoking and areas where it could be permitted.
 - Are you familiar with the following section which reads,

 "DA recognizes the right of individuals working in DA

 occupied buildings to an environment reasonably free of

 contamination. DA also recognizes the right of individuals

 to smoke in such buildings, provided such action does not

 endanger life or property, cause discomfort or unreasonable

 annoyance to non-smokers, or infringe upon their rights."

 Are you familiar with that section?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 Q Did you take that into consideration when making your recommendations?
- 22 A Yes, I did.
 - Was it your responsibility to make a recommendation merely on the basis of the amount of pollutants in the air, or waste, is it your responsibility to make a determination as to what

- is discomfort to Mr. Pletten?
- A That is not my responsibility to make that determination.
- Q It is not, or is?
 - A It is not.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- So why did you take part of AR 1-8 into consideration if it was not your responsibility?
 - A Because that portion of it is my responsibility.
 - Q How is it if your specific purpose is to provide the Legal
 Office with a quantification of ventilation of the Personnel
 Office?
 - A I don't understand.
 - Q As I understand this disposition form, Mr. Lang, you were told to tell them how much air they had?
- 14 | A Which I did.
 - Q Yet you say you took into account the section about discomfort or unreasonable annoyance to non-smokers. Now, what part did that play in this disposition form?
- 18 A The only part was to ask whether there was sufficient

 ventilation as called for in this, which I verified.
 - Q Section 2a of the regulation you don't concur with?
 - A It is a general statement and it presumes that if you provide 10 cubic feet of air as minimum ventilation, that you are providing a healthful environment.
 - Q Let me understand that. It is your position that as long as there are 10 cubic feet of air, the substantive analysis of

an individual is irrelevant?

- A That is not my responsibility for that part of it. We provide the ventilation; if there is a problem, then we look into it.
- Q As in this case with Mr. Pletten, you gave him an air conditioner?
- A Right, which was more than what was called for in the regulations.
- Q Is that your interpretation of AR 1-8, or is it indicative of the position of others that you answered to in the Command?

 Was that enunciated to you by Mr. Ortisi, for example?
- A Well, you know, being a mechanical engineer and responsible for ventilation, they came to me to see whether we met the regulations and which I indicated we did. Now, what -- I don't know what they understood about it other than the statement I made that it does meet the regulations.
- I understand, but -- if this is 10 cubic feet, we presume on its face that complies with the regulations regardless of Section 2a. Was that the understanding of the regulations that came to you on your own, or was it one that was forwarded to you?
- A Well, again, you know, back up again. We went -- the supervisor complained about the conditions in the area per
 Mr. Pletten and I went out to verify and found it met the
 requirements.

- Q Please get into specific requirements of this study. As I understand it -- when was it undertaken, first of all?
- A I think it was in June, June 1979, or some time about that time; the latter part of June.
- Q What portion of the air was circulated from outside?
- A At that time?
- ' | Q Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 8 A Actually about 25 percent of it had come from the outside.
 - Q In the disposition form you state, "During winter months, with the dampers closed, we are still bringing in 8 to 10% of fresh air." If the study was performed in June, how is it you could testify to what the winter months contained?
 - A Because we have taken studies on this before. This is not the first study taken to check the system.
- 15 Q When was the study prior to this?
 - A I don't recall, but it is checked from time to time.
- 17 Q Do you remember how frequently those were performed?
 - A No, I don't because it is a matter of course.
 - Q On what regular basis are they done?
 - A First of all, it is done on a basis of complaint, and then done on a regulatory basis probably once or twice during winter months.
 - Q How many times during summer months?
 - A The ventilation can be 100 percent and we do take 100 percent outside air during the summertime if the temperature outside

To the best of my knowledge, yes.

- A Well, there is no specific time, month or date that it is done. It is just required it be done during midwinter.
- Q In the year you wrote this DF we are discussing, the winter study you refer to, was that done the year prior or the midwinter prior?
- A The midwinter prior.
- Q How do you know?

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Page 3 Because when I wrote this I looked into that to make sure it was done.
- 11 Q How come you didn't reference it in your disposition form?
- 12 A There was no need to.
- Q Presume it is needed now. Do you have those documents available?
- 15 A They may be in the shop. I don't maintain those files.
- 16 Q Who does?
- 17 A Ed, Ed Satalla. The man that was there has retired since.
- 18 Q What is his name?
- 19 A I can't recall. I just drew a blank, I don't remember.
- Q If you happen to think of it later in the testimony, drop me a note.
- 22 A Well, you know, you asked a name in the past.
- 23 Q How long did he work there?
- 24 A Oh, a number of years; 10, 15 years. This is just a mental block.

- Q The winter-month evaluation is based on an older study, in this disposition form?
- A The year just previous.
- Q You testified earlier you were not sure of the time, it could be once or twice a year, and you say you don't remember the date or months or document regarding the winter, but you prepared it from the study, the winter previous, is that correct?
- A Yes.

8

9

13

5

16

17

19

22

23

24

- 10 Q Could you be wrong?
- 11 A No, because at the time I wrote this, I did check the records.
 - Q But you didn't reference it in your memo?
- 14 A No, I didn't.
 - You indicate here that the air circulating system of Building 230 has the capability of recirculating approximately 90 percent of the air?
- 18 A Yes.
 - Q The capability of -- what were they actually providing?
- 20 A At what time?
 - Q At the time this was written.
 - A I did not check that particular aspect because I was concerned about what air was getting into the room in the area that was being occupied by Mr. Pletten, and that is what we checked.
 - Q Mr. Lang, these are very persuasive figures. It says 90

different.

- A That is what it says, eight to 10.
- Q Could it have been higher or lower by eight to 10 percent?
- A It says even with the dampers closed in winter you still get eight to 10 percent.
- 10 Q Assuming it was eight percent, does that meet regulations?
 - A That is the minimum with them closed. That is the statement saying even with the dampers totally closed, you would still get eight to 10 percent.
 - Q What would you ventilate eight to 10 percent with the dampers closed?
 - A There is leakage through the dampers. They are not designed to be 100 percent to close the air off. Just normal leakage through a design.
- 19 Q That is not recirculated air?
- 20 A That is fresh air.
- 21 Q But not recirculated?
- 22 A Yes, it is.

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

- 23 | O How is it?
 - A Pulled in with the return air from the ventilating system and fed into the area along with the recirculated air.

- 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Mr. Lang, isn't it true, based on the figures that we have now, a maximum recirculation capability of 90 percent and for argument's sake, at least eight percent fresh air being returned?
- Α I am not saying that you are misreading -- misquoting; it is eight to 10 percent. Even with the dampers closed we would get it. We are recirculating 18 percent.
- Q During the study at the time you looked at it, was the figure eight or 10 percent? Let's get to specifics on this.
- All I can say is it stands as quoted: Eight to 10 percent.
- Did you do a study with the dampers closed and with the Q dampers opened at that time?
- Α We did with them totally closed and that is the figure we got there, but the figures are not precise as reflected by That is correct. They are precise within your statement. the eight to 10 percent.
- Q If 10 is maximum, it could have been on eight percent, the Then there is a likelihood that the actual amount of air was below the regulation?
- Α No, not true.
- Why not?
- I said that is when the dampers were completely closed.
- You also said you only did studies with the dampers closed. Q Did you do studies with the dampers open?
- From zero to one completely open we --Α

25

Α

0

Yes, that is true.

Have you written a memo on this to the Command indicating the

- $^{2}\parallel$ A No. That is normal in that type of building.
- Q There is no way to lessen it? I presume Command pays for the heat?
- Certainly there is some ways you could, but you have people coming in and out and you can't do anything about that. That is leakage as well and that is the main part of the leakage.
 - Q You indicated that the duct system in the Personnel Office as what capacity?
- 10 A Forty-eight hundred cubic feet per minute.
- 11 Q What portion of that capacity was being used at the time of this study?
- 13 A The total amount.
- 14 Q It was working at peak efficiency?
- 15 A Well, there is no efficiency. The fan runs and puts out so much air and that is it.
- 17 Q You studied that?
- 18 | A Yes.

- 19 Q Was the duct system working at the time of the study as well as it was when it was installed?
- 21 A Yes, I'd say so.
- Q Have you done studies to determine the variance of the system over the years?
- A The duct system itself does not vary.
- 25 Q At all?

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- The fan does not wear down, the motor does not become a little slower?
- No.
- It stays the same PMs?
- Yes.
 - Have you ever had maintenance on the duct system or fan system?
 - The only thing we ever do as far as the duct system is to clean the defusers.
 - Why did you clean the defusers? Q
 - Α To get rid of any accumulated dirt.
 - Would an accumulation of dirt lessen the efficiency of the duct system?
 - Inside the duct, no. Α
 - Would it affect the type of air that is put out if there is Q dust in the duct system? When pumping air, wouldn't it therefore pump the dust?
 - If there is a large accumulation, yes. Α
- That would affect the type of air that is coming out? 20
 - It could, I suppose, if it was heating and there was dust all Α over the defuser.
 - Instead of fresh air being pumped into the civilian personnel Q office, there could be air that is dust-filled if it is not cleaned?

A It is cleaned.

1

- O I didn't ask you that. I said if it is not cleaned.
- A I suppose yes, hypothetically, sure.
- Q So 4,800 cubic feet per minute capacity may not be fresh air at all times? Occasionally it may be partially contaminated?
- 6 A Not in that building.
- 7 Q Why not?
- 8 A Because we clean them every year.
- 9 Q Every year?
- 10 A Every year we clean the defusers and it is done by contract.
- 11 Q To which company?
- 12 A Right now it is Master Housekeepers. They clean the defusers and lighting fixtures once a year.
- 14 Q Do you supervise them?
- 15 A It is a contract under my responsibility. I don't supervise them directly, I have people who do that.
- 17 Q Who are the people that supervise them?
- 18 A At this time now?
- 19 O At that time.
- 20 | A Another name. I can't recall his name either.
- 21 Q How about now?
- 22 A I can't remember him. He is on the afternoon shift.
- Q What if they do the maintenance in the morning? Do you have anybody looking it over in the morning?
- $_{25}$ A They do it on non-working time, which is after 4:30, 5:00.

- Q Define fresh air for me.
- A Fresh air is air that is brought from the outside that is free of any heavy contamination, smoke and dust; reasonably free of contaminants.

Of the outside air surrounding TACOM, how much of it is fresh?

- A I am not qualified -- I don't collect the air to see if it is fresh. It is air drawn from the outside.
- Q Are you using the terms "fresh air" and "outside air" synonymously for the purpose of your disposition form?
- A Yes, I am.
- Q You are just saying to the Command that air from the outside is getting into the building at around 18 percent?
- A Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- Q You have no idea of the contents of the air? It could be polluted?
- A By what standard?
- Q I am asking you what standard.
- 19 A What is polluted?
 - You have defined fresh air as something reasonably free of contaminants. Now, you tell me. You have assumed fresh air to be outside air. Now, outside air is not always free of contaminants. So in other words, it is potentially the case that the outside air might not be meeting regulations.
 - A The fresh air of the outside air is always filtered. The

- Q What type of filtering system?
- A Fiber-glass filters similar to what you have in a hot-air furnace at home.
- Q I am surprised that all the contents of this study, or this report regarding the study, are all approximations. Did you get any hard data from your June '79 research?
- A Just what is contained on the memorandum.
- Q Well, everything here is approximation.
- 10 A Yes.

2

3

55

6

7

8

- Q Who prepared this report for you then, the study for you?
- 12 A People in my engineering office.
- 13 | Q Whom?
- 14 A Mr. Novak.
- 15 Q Who is Mr. Novak?
- 16 A He is head of the Engineering Department.
- 17 Q Anybody else besides Mr. Novak?
- 18 A I don't recall who he used on that.
- 20 You must understand, Mr. Lang, I was under the impression that Mr. Braun had done the pre --
- 21 A He had done some as well, yes.
- 22 Q But Mr. Novak would be a new person for us to consider.
- 23 A Well, the only thing he did was check the amount of fresh air coming in.
 - Q I think that is a pretty important thing since the Army

talking about. I don't go around and check every defuser. 2 Why not? 3 There is not a need to do it. With a closed system, it does 4 not vary. The only thing we may do is run partitions up to 5 the ceiling and close off an area. Then we check that. 6 You said you check four areas? Q 7 I am talking about fan rooms themselves, not working areas. 8 These studies are not done in the working area at all? 9 This one was. 10 Why this one and not others? 11 Because we got complaints. 12 What were the standard studies, their results, outside 13 Mr. Pletten's area? You did normal studies? 14 Α Yes, fan room. 15 Õ What was the result? 16 They met the standard. Did Mr. Pletten's test for the Personnel Office meet AR 17 18 requirements, or the test overall in the fan room meet AR 19 standards, or both? 20 A. Really both. What were the quantifications of both tests? What were the 21 facts? 22 We determined how much fresh air came in in Leroy's area; we Α 23

showed how much came out of each defuser and using a formula

we calculated that to see how much CFM was being pushed in

24

that room.

1

2

3

5

7

20

21

- Q If there was an obstruction in the duct --
- A There was none.
- Q Let's assume hypothetically there was one. Would that limit the amount of CFM?
- 6 A Yes, it would.
 - Q How do you know there was no obstruction?
- Because we found the violation site came up to what it should show.
- 10 Q These studies are written up every year?
- A I don't take those kinds of studies every year.
- 12 Q You stated you do air studies every year.
- 13 A Yes, in the fan rooms.
- Q Are those quantifications written? Are there fan-room study reports?
- 16 A No, there is not.
- 17 Q Nothing written?
- 18 A No, there is no need. A man checks it as part of the
 19 maintenance procedure.
 - Q If there are no written studies and no written numbers other than your word that it is between eight and 10 percent, isn't that all approximation, Mr. Lang?
- 23 A No, because we did take a reading at that time.
- 24 Q Why didn't you write them down?
- 25 A They are written down.

- No. These are your percentages of the numbers. I don't have the actual study in front of me.
- A I was not asked for that.

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q You knew there was a problem.
- A We checked it and verified it was all right, according to this.
 - Q Mr. Lang, from a layman's standpoint, wouldn't you agree this is basically approximation?
 - A This may be. I don't know. Do you have a copy of these? I don't know if it is this study.
 - Q You based your DF on that? Weren't they approximations?
- 12 A No, they were on actual tests.
- 13 Q What were the results of the tests?
- 14 A This is the result of those tests.
- But these are not specific, sir. Would you agree your DF is not specific?
 - A It is specific. It tells you the information that was requested.
 - If you take your statement in Paragraph 2 at face value that,

 "We are still bringing in eight to 10 percent of fresh air,"

 and you presume eight is the minimum and 10 percent is the

 maximum, with the dampers closed, admittedly, isn't it

 possible that one could presume that you are not in

 compliance with AR 1-8?
 - A Not at all.

- Q Don't you say you have to have at least 10 percent?
- A Yes.

- Q Isn't the maximum number you allowed you could get in the winter months with the dampers closed was 10 percent?
- A The dampers are not closed.
- Q If they were closed?
- A No, you are still getting 18 percent. Because of all the other leakage in the building, you are still getting 18 percent.
- You are getting between 16 and 18 percent theoretically, isn't that correct?
- 12 A That is true --
 - Q That is a requirement.
 - A -- people opening and shutting doors and there being leaks in the walls, through windows. The condition that we are stating here is if the outside dampers were 100 percent closed, which they are not, that building, even if it is wintertime, we take 25 percent outside air, but I had them closed in the event there was a failure and those dampers were closed, even under those circumstances we met the standard.
 - Q How open do the dampers have to be to meet 25 percent?
- A About a quarter, or a little less than a quarter, 25 percent or less.
 - Q I don't understand. The dampers have to be 25 percent open

- to receive 25 percent of air?
- ² A Yes, or less. We are getting leakage.
- Are they normally open 25 percent? Do you have a standard damper opening?
 - A It depends on the temperature outside. In extremely cold weather, we reduce it down, so we still get 18 percent.
- 7 Q That means you at least keep it at 18 percent level, is that 8 true?
 - A Yes, but we never close them completely.
- 10 Q The dampers are always open at 18 percent?
 - A No.

5

6

9

11

23

24

- Q What is the least they are ever open?
- 13 A Ten percent. That is the minimum setting on the damper control. If there was failure --
- Q Wait a minute. Hold on. The minimum setting on the damper control is 10 percent open?
- 17 | A Yes.
- Q I thought you told me you did the study with the damper closed?
- 20 | A Yes, I did.
- Q Do you close dampers if they have to be open less than 10 percent?
 - A We overrode the control that makes the determination what kind of -- what would happen and how much air we get in with the dampers completely closed.

- Q Did you ever do a study at normal?
- A Yes.

2

3

- Q What were the results of the study?
- 4 A That this far exceeded this.
- 5 O How far?
- The minimum is 10 percent outside air setting and you can run that up to 100 percent outside air, which, in effect, is the 10 percent of fresh air.
- 9 Q What is normal in the winter months at TACOM?
- Ten percent outside fresh air. That is where all the damper settings are set.
- 12 Q But you don't have any numbers for that, do you?
- 13 A What numbers would you like?
- Q Specific numbers from reading those instruments you told me you operate.
- 16 | A With me, no.
- 17 Q You testified before that they don't exist. Now you are
 18 testifying that you don't have them with you. Do these
 19 numbers exist, or don't they?
- 20 A Not that I know of. I have no idea. I would have to check.
 21 They don't keep records like that.
- Q You are the boss, Mr. Lang, you are the boss. You direct if such studies are kept.
- 24 | A Yes.

25

Q Do you direct your people to keep the numbers?

- A No, just to do the checking.
- O So the numbers don't exist?
- A Again, I don't know if the man keeps it. He is not required to.
 - Q Do you have separate standards for smoke as opposed to other pollutants?
 - A As far as ventilation is concerned?
- 8 Q Yes.

2

7

9

16

17

18

19

21

23

- A No.
- Define the contents of smoke for me, sir.
- A I don't know. I am not qualified.
- 12 Q You told me you're qualified to take air studies.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q You are saying you are only qualified to take air flow studies, or air content studies?
 - A I don't take air content studies. That is not my responsibility.
 - Q You indicated your people did and that is including the boiler plant?
- 20 A Yes.
 - Q Do they take air content studies?
- 22 A Yes.
 - Q Now, part of your job is taking air content studies. Do you take air content studies for the rest of the Command?
 - A No.

- Q Why not?
- Because the Fire Department may take air content studies as far as explosives, but as far as smoke, no.
- 4 Q What contents do you take?
 - A First, gas. If they get a report of gas, they go down and take a sample of that.
 - Q That is all that is done other than pollution control on the boiler?
 - A As far as I am responsible for.
 - Q Do you have any indications at all what the air contents of the Command is? Are they -- you keep talking in this disposition form about fresh air.
- 13 | A Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

- 14 Q We have established you consider fresh air outside air,
 15 correct?
- 16 | A Yes.
 - Q But we don't know whether that air is clear, whether it is reasonably free of contamination, do we?
- 19 A Well, no, I guess not.
- 20 Q You don't have any idea? Let's presume on the study which
 21 was done as to the contents of the air. You have no
 22 knowledge of them?
- 23 A I know the med tech takes studies of air from time to time.
- 24 Q Med tech?
- 25 || A Yeah.

- Q Is that under your control?
- ² A No.

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Whose control are these people under?
 - A The doctor.
 - Q Dr. Holt?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Does Dr. Holt direct air contents studies?
- A I don't know. I imagine he does from time to time. I don't know, he would have to answer that question.
- 10 Q Are you familiar with the USACARA report regarding
 11 Mr. Pletten?
- 12 A Not thoroughly.
- Q It appears at Tab 3, at least the conclusions do. Have you ever read this before?
- 15 A I don't believe so. I don't recall.
 - MS. BACON: Objection. I would ask you identify it a little more specifically.
 - (By Mr. Cohen) Identifying Tab 3, which states -- the USACARA report -- which states at Section D of conclusions, "While TARCOM ventilation system in the building occupied by civilian personnel division may provide recommended minimum of 10 cubic feet of fresh air per minute per person, there is no evidence that an analysis of air content was made to show that Mr. Pletten's work area is reasonably free of toxic substances." Are you familiar with that?

A No.

1

4

15

- Were you instructed at any time to perform air content studies of that area?
 - A No, I wasn't.
- 5 Q Who does these studies besides the medics?
- 6 A That is not my responsibility.
- You said you had the only air pollution or contamination devices on the boiler system, isn't that true?
- Plant 1 don't run the boiler plant. You asked me if there were pollution control system devices, and there is, on the power-house.
- 12 Q Let's face it, Mr. Lang, you testified earlier you are fairly
 13 well trained in this area because of 30 years experience.
 14 Why wouldn't they go to you for contamination studies?
 - A Because that is not my training or background or responsibility.
- 17 Q All your training and background, then, is air flow?
- 18 A That is only a small part of my training.
- 19 Q I understand you have far more things, but you are trained in air flow?
- 21 || A Yes.
- 22 Q But not air content?
- 23 A No, I don't take air samples and determine what toxicants
 24 were there. That is not my responsibility.
- 25 Q Do you know the name of the test you attempted that went from

one to 10?

- A That is the Ringaman test.
- Q What does that show?
- A Quantity of smoke in the air coming out of the boiler plant.
- Q That sounds like an air content study.
- A No, air content is what is in that smoke. All the Ringaman test shows is the density of the smoke, that is all. It does not show what is in the smoke, what its contents are.
- Q Did you do a Ringaman test in this area for Mr. Pletten?
- A That Ringaman test is not applicable to that.
- Q Why not?
- A Because the Ringaman test is a smoke test from a boiler plant.
 Ringaman would be zero in that area.
- Q Without even testing you are sure of that?
- A Yes, unless there is a fire over there.
 - I noted at the bottom of your disposition form comments about areas where smoking had been banned; Paragraph 5: "One of the reasons no smoking signs were posted in areas such as conference rooms, auditorium and cafeterias, is that the requirement could not normally be satisfied." Could it be possible to do a Ringaman test in a wholly contained area to determine smoke?
 - A I would not even want to go in the room to take the test.
- 24 | Q Why?
 - A It would have to be so dense to apply a Ringaman to it.

- Q You don't do any smoke studies at all at the Command? Are there more sensitive studies than a Ringaman device available for you?
- A Not that I do other than for explosive mixtures.
- Do you know any other test, even though you don't do them?
 - A There is a test for carbon monoxide for engine exhaust.
- 7 Q Do you do carbon monoxide tests?
- 8 A My firemen do.
- 9 Q Do you direct the firemen?
- 10 A Not necessarily, because it is done on an as-needed basis.
- 11 Q Isn't carbon monoxide odorless and colorless? How would you know unless there is a tragedy?
- 13 A Usually it goes along with something else.
- Q Are you familiar with cigarette smoke contents after it is burned?
- 16 A No.

2

3

4

- 17 Q Did you know it was carbon monoxide?
- 18 A I do know that. What is left, I don't know.
- 19 Q Do you smoke, sir?
- 20 A I used to.
- 21 Q How much?
- MS. BACON: I object to this testimony as irrelevant.
- Q (By Mr. Cohen) Mr. Lang, how much did you smoke?
- 25 A A pack a day.

1	Q	You still smoke?
2		
	A	No.
3	Ω.	Did you quit?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	How many years now?
6	A	About five years.
7	Q	Why did you quit?
8	A	Because it became a nuisance.
9	Q	How so?
10	A	Fumbling for cigarettes and I just felt I could give it up,
11		and I gave it up.
12	Q	Do you have any complaints from other people with regard to
13		cigarette smoke?
14	A	No.
15	Q	If somebody asked you to stop smoking, you would stop smoking
16		for the purposes of a meeting?
17	A	In a conference room I would not smoke.
18		MR. COHEN: Let's take a little break.
19	į	(Recess taken.)
20	Q	(By Mr. Cohen) Let me ask you a few questions more.
21	<u> </u>	As Facilities Engineer, do you instruct your
22		people as to personal habits on the job?
23		MS. BACON: I would object as being irrelevant
24	Q	(By Mr. Cohen) Do you instruct your people as to personal
25		habits on the job?

- 2 Q If somebody came in dirty, for example, horribly dirty on a continual basis, would you caution them?
- That would be because of job conditions, we would say you are not very presentable. I have never done that, or had a need to.
- Do you have any rules with regard to smoking within your section as Facilities Engineer?
- 9 | A No.

- 10 | Q You don't regulate smoking at all?
- Only in areas where it is prohibited. We put signs up, no smoking, since I have the responsibility to provide those for the Command.
- 14 | Q You provide the no smoking signs?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q But you have not sent out any memo to your employees regarding no smoking?
- 18 A Other than coming through the normal government chain.
- 19 Q If higher command sent you one, you would send it out?
- 20 A Absolutely.
- 21 Q But you don't initiate them?
- 22 || A No, I don't.
- Do you have any knowledge or experience with regard to hazard from fire retardant, or other materials of that sort?
- 25 A Yes, as part of my job, yes, I do.

- Q Can you describe that part of that job and what the job specifically is?
- A C02, fire extinguishers; Halon. Those are fire retardants and extinguishers.
- Q Have you been directed by Command there is a similarity between the two?
- A No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q If there is a hazard for an employee at TARCOM, is it your position as Facilities Engineer you have to eliminate that problem?
- 11 A You mean if I am notified by the medics or Safety there is a
 12 problem someplace?
- 13 | Q Yes.
- 14 A We look into it, yes.
- 15 Q You remedy the problems generally?
- 16 A Yes, generally, yes. I would say so.
 - Q Did you have any other contact with Mr. Pletten personally besides the report that you responded to? Have you talked to him about this?
 - A About that particular subject matter? Only when I came over to see him, but other than that, I have talked to Leroy on other matters, personal matters that had nothing to do with that.
- Q Did he make a complaint to you about smoking?
- 25 A Not until I was called by his supervisor.

- Q Is smoking a hazard at the Tank Command?
- A I can't make that judgment. As far as I know, for the people working in the area, no.
- Q Were you aware that Mr. Pletten was presented with a safety hazard because of smoking?

MS. BACON: I would object to that question couched in those terms.

- Q (By Mr. Cohen) I am referring to the USACARA report, which is exactly those terms. Are you aware Mr. Pletten was subjected to a safety hazard?
- A He allegedly thought that he was, I knew that. Whether he was or not, I can't verify that.
- Q Are you aware the USACARA report of Tab 8 -- excuse me. You are not aware of any USACARA reports that indicate it was a hazard?
- A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

135

14

15

16

18

- Q Command never told you it was a hazard to Mr. Pletten?
- A His supervisor said that Leroy said that it was, or allegedly said it was unsafe.
- Q Are you familiar with OSHA requirements, sir?
- As they apply to my area of responsibility, yes, I am.
- Q Did you coordinate a review as regards those reports, a review of air studies?
- 24 A I am not responsible for that.
- 25 Q So if you found the air flow meets AR regulations, they

would not necessarily meet OSHA regulations?

- A I could not make that determination.
- Q Mr. Lang, I am going to close the record as to my crossexamination. I would ask, on behalf of Mr. Pletten and, I
 believe, on behalf of the Command, to clarify a lot of
 these. If there are any documents that reflect specific
 findings that support your disposition form of July 24 to
 the Legal Office, can you get them together so we can see
 them because lots of the problems we are having -- at least
 we have been having -- I am sure Mrs. Bacon would not
 necessarily feel the same -- is lots of it is approximation.

One last question. Over the period of 30 years, then, you have only done 60 air flow studies at the Command?

15 A I don't recall.

- Q You testified you did at least two a year, so over 30 years you have done these studies 60 times.
- A There is areas we take studies in that does not involve the whole Command, a DP areas.
 - Q That is what?
- 21 A Data Processing.
- Q Computers again. Lots of testing?
- 23 A As far as humidity, yes.
 - Q If there is a hazard to the computer, they take care of it?
- 25 A Well, you said hazard. If they don't function, obviously you

- have to make changes.
- Q If a human being can't function in that atmosphere, what kind of changes do they make?
- A I can't answer that.
- Q You make determinations as far as Data Processing?
- A As far as humidity.
- Do you have a directive to you, as far as to the computers, they have to work, whatever is necessary for their atmosphere you keep them running?
- 10 A Yes, I would say so.
- Q If computers were in danger, you would hear about it, I presume?
- 13 A Oh, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

- Q And if an individual, a human being is in a place of hazard,
 it is not your decision?
 - A If I am informed there is a problem. Obviously, if there is a fire.
- 18 Q You were informed Mr. Pletten had a problem.
- 19 A Yes, that is why I responded.
- 20 Q You responded to the Health Office?
- 21 A No, to his supervisor.
- 22 Q You indicate here to the Health Office.
- 23 A No, I responded to his supervisor.
- 24 Q Who is his supervisor?
- 25 A I don't remember who he was then.

Mr. Kator?

I am not sure. It could have been.

3

2

MR. COHEN: I have nothing further.

4

(9:35 a.m.)

5

6

7

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

SS

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNTY OF OAKLAND)

I, Norma J. Yeager, Notary Public in and for the above county and state, do hereby certify that the foregoing deposition was taken before me at the time and place hereinbefore set forth; that the witness was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth; that the foregoing questions and answers were duly recorded by me in stenotype and later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision; and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcription of my stenotype notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal at Southfield, Michigan this JOHN day of July

Norma J. Yeager (CSR0015)

Notary Public

Oakland County, Michigan

My Commission Expires: 7-19-83